EXHIBIT 16

In the Matter of:

TENNESSEE CONFERENCE of the NATIONAL COLORED PEOPLE

VS

WILLIAM LEE

ANTHONY MAXEY

March 22, 2023



www.beresandassociates.com 615.742.2550 | info@beresandassociates.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

TENNESSEE CONFERENCE of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION for the ADVANCEMENT of COLORED PEOPLE, on behalf of itself and its members, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

Case No. 3:20-CV-01039

WILLIAM LEE, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Tennessee, et al.,

Defendants.

ROUGH DRAFT

Videoconference 30(b)(6) Deposition of:

ANTHONY W. MAXEY

Taken on behalf of the Plaintiffs March 22, 2023 Commencing at 9:31 a.m.

Reported by: Georgette K. Arena, RPR, LCR
BERES & ASSOCIATES
Licensed Stenographic Court Reporters
Post Office Box 190461
Nashville, Tennessee 37219-0461
(615)742-2550

```
1
 2
                 APPEARANCES
 3
 4
    For the Plaintiffs:
              MS. ALICE C. HULING
 5
              MS. VALENCIA RICHARDSON
              Attorneys at Law
 6
              Campaign Legal Center
 7
              1101 14th St. NW
              Suite 400
              Washington, DC 20005
 8
              (202)736-2200
 9
              AHuling@campaignlegal.org
              VRichardson@campaignlegal.org
10
11
    For the Defendants:
12
              MR. ZACHARY L. BARKER
13
              MS. DAWN JORDAN
14
              Assistant Attorneys General
              Office of the Tennessee Attorney General
15
              P.O. Box 20207
              Nashville, TN 37202-0207
              (615)741-3491
16
              Zachary.Barker@ag.tn.gov
17
              Dawn.Jordan@ag.tn.gov
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

```
1
 2
              STI
                       P U L A T I O N S
 3
 4
              The videoconference deposition of ANTHONY
 5
    W. MAXEY was taken by counsel for the Plaintiffs,
 6
 7
   by Notice, with the witness located in Knoxville,
    Tennessee, on March 22, 2023, for all purposes
 8
    under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
 9
10
              The formalities as to notice, caption,
11
    certificate, transmission, et cetera, are expressly
12
    waived.
13
              It is agreed that GEORGETTE K. ARENA,
14
    RPR, Notary Public and Licensed Court Reporter for
15
    the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

1 2 ANTHONY W. MAXEY, was called as a witness, and after having been duly 3 sworn, testified as follows: 4 5 6 EXAMINATION 7 QUESTIONS BY MS. HULING: All right. Good morning, Mr. Maxey. My name 8 9 is Alice Huling. I work as a senior legal counsel 10 at Campaign Legal Center, and I am here today with 11 my colleaque Valencia Richardson. We represent the 12 plaintiffs in this case, Tennessee NAACP versus 13 State. 14 MR. BARKER: Before we begin the 15 questioning, I do want to go ahead and note the same 16 three objections that we've noted in previous 17 depositions and put those on the record. First, defendants object to the 30(b)(6) 18 19 notices as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the 2.0 extent that they require the deponent to review individual case files on individual circumstances 21 contained in those case files. 22 23 Defendants further object to anything in 24 the notices that require the deponent to go outside their district to obtain information about the 25

topics contained in the notice.

2.0

And, third, defendants object to the timeframe of June 5th, 2006, to present as overly broad and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant information. But to the extent that the deponent knows his or her district has information available, they have been instructed to do the best they can concerning the timeframe required. Those are our three initial objections. We would like to note those on the record at this time.

MS. HULING: The plaintiffs will note that, and as we have in prior depositions, note again that this notice and these topics were first served on February 28th, almost a month ago. Since then the parties have had to meet and confer in other discovery issues and would have been happy to discuss those objections and try and reach a consensus on the deposition topics, but they have not been raised. The objections have only been raised in deposition, as opposed to outside when the parties conferred. With that said, objections are noted.

Q (BY MS. HULING) Director Maxey, will you please state your full name for the record.

- 1 A. Anthony W. Maxey.
- 2 Q. Thank you. And do you understand that though
- 3 | we are not in a courtroom today, you are sworn under
- 4 oath to tell the truth?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Great. Have you ever been deposed before?
- 7 A. No, ma'am, I have not.
- 8 Q. Okay. Hopefully, this won't be too bad of an
- 9 | introductory experience to it.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 | Q. I'm going to want to start by clarifying that
- 12 | we are having this deposition today fundamentally as
- 13 | an opportunity for myself and my colleague to learn
- 14 | more about your office and your work. So we will be
- 15 asking questions. They're truly meant to just
- 16 understand better the work that you do, and your
- 17 office does, on a daily basis.
- 18 With that said, I'm going to go over a few
- 19 general sort of procedures for a deposition. And
- 20 | I'm just going to ask to make sure you understand
- 21 | those, as I'm laying them out.
- 22 The first is regarding the fact that we have
- 23 | Georgette with us today, our court reporter. She's
- 24 going to be transcribing everything that's said
- 25 | today. I'll be asking questions, and you'll be

- 1 Q. Okay. And are there any felony convictions
- 2 | that don't result at all in the -- let me try that
- 3 | again.
- 4 Are you aware of any felony convictions that
- 5 | do not result in the loss of the right to vote at
- 6 any time?
- 7 | A. That do not result in the loss of right to
- 8 vote? No, I'm not aware of any.
- 9 Q. And are you familiar -- I think you said you
- 10 | were. But are you familiar with the certificate of
- 11 | voter rights restoration, or the COR form?
- 12 | A. I am.
- 13 | Q. And are you familiar with the criteria for
- 14 determining whether someone is eligible to have a
- 15 | COR form filled out for them?
- 16 A. I'm familiar, but if I had to issue one, I
- 17 | would have to refer back to the policy to make sure
- 18 | because, again, I'm -- I'm kind of removed from the
- 19 direct issuance of that. So I would always refer
- 20 | back to policy to ensure I wasn't missing anything.
- 21 Q. Okay. To the extent that you supervise or
- 22 | manage your office's completion of COR forms, what
- 23 | is your sort of daily or weekly -- do you have any
- 24 | daily or weekly responsibilities or -- or tasks that
- 25 | you are doing in that supervision on a regular

- 1 A. Well, I'm not sure of their policies, but I
- 2 | would assume the U.S. probation officer.
- 3 | Q. Okay. But it wouldn't be somebody in your
- 4 | office at any rate?
- 5 A. I mean, we won't generally be responsible.
- 6 But if we were able to assist, we would in some way.
- 7 | Q. Understood. Okay. I am done with that
- 8 document. I'm don't want to jinx anything, but this
- 9 might be our last one.
- I'm going to pull up Document P, and I would
- 11 | like to introduce that as Exhibit 15.
- 12 (WHEREUPON, the above-mentioned
- 13 document was marked as Exhibit Number 15.)
- 14 | Q (BY MS. HULING) Okay. Director Maxey, do you
- 15 | see another denial letter? This one is dated
- 16 | September 2, 2016.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And this is in Knox County so that's
- 19 | definitely one of the counties in District 20,
- 20 | correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. And the reason for denial of
- 23 restoration here says, Court order needed to restore
- 24 | person's voting rights?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Do you have an understanding of what that
- 2 | means?
- 3 A. No, I don't. I would -- I would assume that
- 4 | is an individual circumstance. I have no idea why
- 5 the court order would be needed.
- 6 | Q. Okay.
- 7 A. Without -- I would have to review the case.
- 8 Q. Can you think of any times in your experience
- 9 | where there's been a situation where a court order
- 10 has been needed in addition to the completed COR
- 11 | form?
- 12 A. Nothing is coming to mind. Can you scroll
- 13 down and let me see the actual --
- 14 Q. Yes. Absolutely. I'm sorry.
- 15 A. It appears that conviction is from out of
- 16 Oklahoma. So that may be why they are asking for
- 17 | some type of court order.
- 18 Q. Because it is an out-of-state conviction?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So in that case they might need the court
- 21 order?
- 22 A. That's just my assumption.
- 23 Q. Okay. Fair enough. And this appears to be
- 24 | signed by a -- it's a little hard to read, but James
- 25 | Krippler -- or Kriplean. I believe that may have

- 1 been the individual who we talked about earlier in
- 2 | Knox County?
- 3 A. It was, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. I also noticed that the conviction
- 5 date here is circled, July 11, 1972. Do you have
- 6 any reason to believe that that 1972 conviction --
- 7 like the fact that it's from 1972, could be related
- 8 to why there would or wouldn't need to be a court
- 9 order?
- 10 A. Scroll. Can you scroll up just a little?
- 11 Q. Uh-huh (affirmative).
- 12 A. I'm bad with dates. So according to the
- 13 certificate of restoration of voting rights for
- 14 | persons convicted of a felony on or after May 18,
- 15 | 1981.
- So I'm not sure what is required if the
- 17 | conviction was prior to that date. That may be why
- 18 | that they require a court order. I don't know.
- 19 | I've never -- I've never saw one like this before,
- 20 so...
- 21 | Q. Okay. If you had an individual who came in
- 22 | who had a conviction from prior to 1981, what would
- 23 | you -- what would be -- how would you handle that?
- 24 | A. I would consult my chain of command.
- 25 | Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any period of

- 1 | time during which a felony conviction would not
- 2 require a COR to be submitted?
- 3 A. Not a felony conviction. I mean, again, we
- 4 | have offenders that serve felony probation. But if
- 5 | they received a diversion, then they are not
- 6 | actually serving a conviction.
- 7 | Q. Okay. So if they are on a diversion, they
- 8 | wouldn't have the requirement to get their rights
- 9 | restored because their rights wouldn't have been
- 10 | stripped?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. If they didn't get the conviction, it's your
- 13 understanding that they wouldn't need to call --
- 14 | fill in -- have COR forms filled out for them?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. And in your understanding, do all 1972
- 17 | felony convictions require a COR form to be filled
- 18 out for rights restoration?
- 19 | A. Again, I don't know that I've ever filled one
- 20 out. That was the year I was born. So that was 51
- 21 | years ago. So I don't know that I've ever filled
- 22 one out for a conviction that old. So I -- I can't
- 23 | say what the requirements are. I don't know.
- 24 Q. Okay. Okay. Fair enough. I'm done with
- 25 | that document.